2008 CATALYST MEMBER
BENCHMARKING REPORT
About Catalyst

Founded in 1962, Catalyst is the leading nonprofit membership organization working globally with businesses and the professions to build inclusive workplaces and expand opportunities for women and business. With offices in the United States, Canada, and Europe, and more than 400 preeminent corporations as members, Catalyst is the trusted resource for research, information, and advice about women at work. Catalyst annually honors exemplary organizational initiatives that promote women’s advancement with the Catalyst Award.
2008 CATALYST MEMBER BENCHMARKING REPORT

Heather Foust-Cummings
Emily Pomeroy

Lead Sponsor:
McDonald’s Corporation

Contributing Sponsor:
HSBC Holdings plc
Welcome to the 2008 Catalyst Member Benchmarking Report. This year, we feature expanded global reach through the first-time participation of members of Catalyst’s Japan-based sister organization, Japan Women’s Innovative Network (J-Win), which works with its member organizations to address diversity issues and advance women in the workplace in Japan. Thus, we are able to provide workforce statistics for Asia, Canada, Europe, Japan, and the United States.

Each year, we also study a workforce issue in depth. In 2008, we focus on employee resource groups (ERGs) and, in particular, women’s networks. Catalyst has studied ERGs for many years, and member organizations have told Catalyst that ERGs are a powerful tool for advancing both diversity and inclusion efforts and the business. We are pleased to highlight the benefits of ERGs and present some of the survey findings on this topic in this report. The full set of findings are available to members that completed this year's Catalyst Member Benchmarking survey.

In addition, this report features three Diversity & Inclusion Practices that provide examples and practical advice related to ERGs. Whether your organization is beginning to explore the value of these groups or attending to established groups, the practices offer something for everyone.

For seven years, Catalyst Member Benchmarking has assessed the scope of diversity and inclusion programs, policies, and initiatives of participating member organizations that contribute confidential data.

Participating members use the findings to benchmark their diversity and inclusion initiatives and workforce statistics against:

- All respondents.
- Industry peers.
- Respondents from other industries.
- Catalyst Award-winning respondents.
- Respondents from global regions, including members of Japan Women’s Innovative Network (J-Win).

Catalyst Member Benchmarking provides participating members with:

- Workforce statistics analyzed by:
  - Global region.
  - Gender.
  - Managerial level.
  - Race and ethnicity (Canada and the United States only).
- In-depth analysis of cutting-edge issues.
- Password-protected online access to global workforce statistics, additional Diversity & Inclusion Practices, and interactive comparisons with industry peers, Catalyst Award-winning respondents, other industry respondents, J-Win respondents, and all respondents.

Did your company or firm complete the 2008 Catalyst Member Benchmarking survey? If so, the Benchmarking contact for your organization can access the complete results of the survey—including workforce statistics by industry and region, and additional Diversity & Inclusion Practices—by using the Catalyst Member Benchmarking Tool. Please contact your organization’s Benchmarking contact or benchmarking@catalyst.org for more information.
In all, more than 200 Catalyst and J-Win member companies and firms, representing 11 industries, completed the 2008 Catalyst Member Benchmarking survey. Table 1 profiles the characteristics of responding members.

**TABLE 1**
Respondent and Member Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>Catalyst Member Respondents and J-Win Member Respondents N=212 (47 percent response rate)²</th>
<th>Total Catalyst Membership and J-Win Member Respondents N=404³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Company</strong></td>
<td>80% (169)</td>
<td>81% (327)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Firm</strong></td>
<td>20% (43)</td>
<td>19% (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GEOGRAPHIC HEADQUARTERS REGION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>12% (25)</td>
<td>16% (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>5% (11)</td>
<td>8% (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>17% (37)</td>
<td>10% (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>65% (138)</td>
<td>66% (266)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other⁴</td>
<td>Less than 1% (1)</td>
<td>Less than 1% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDUSTRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>5% (10)</td>
<td>4% (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting Services</td>
<td>4% (8)</td>
<td>4% (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products/Services – Hospitality, Food and Retail</td>
<td>9% (20)</td>
<td>15% (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Construction</td>
<td>8% (16)</td>
<td>6% (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services – Banking</td>
<td>15% (32)</td>
<td>15% (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services – Insurance</td>
<td>7% (14)</td>
<td>6% (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials/Manufacturing</td>
<td>16% (34)</td>
<td>15% (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology – Computers, Office Equipment, Computer Software, and Data Services</td>
<td>12% (25)</td>
<td>11% (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Firms</td>
<td>12% (25)</td>
<td>11% (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and Pharmaceutical Manufacturing</td>
<td>5% (10)</td>
<td>6% (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>3% (7)</td>
<td>3% (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5% (11)</td>
<td>4% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATALYST AWARD WINNERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst Award-Winner</td>
<td>12% (25)</td>
<td>11% (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Catalyst Award-Winner</td>
<td>88% (187)</td>
<td>89% (361)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORTUNE RANKING (U.S. COMPANIES ONLY)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune 1-500</td>
<td>34% (73)</td>
<td>37% (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune 501-1000</td>
<td>5% (10)</td>
<td>5% (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Percentages throughout the report may not always add up to 100 because of rounding or the acceptance of multiple answers from respondents. The total number of respondents for each question is noted in the “N” value. Calculations are made based on the total “N” value for each question, unless otherwise noted.
2. Response rate includes Catalyst members (N=173) only.
3. Total Catalyst membership (N=365) accurate as of May 1, 2008, and J-Win (N=39) participants.
4. These organizations do not have an official headquarters country.
SAMPLE 2008 FINDINGS

As mentioned above, global workforce statistics are an important part of the 2008 Catalyst Member Benchmarking Report. Participating members are able to access women’s representation and attrition rates at companies, and, separately, at firms across all levels of leadership in Asia, Canada, Europe, Japan, and the United States. Respondents can also track representation by race and ethnicity in companies and in professional services firms in the United States and Canada.

For example, as Figure 1 depicts, women account for 12 percent of executive officer positions in Asia, 22 percent in Canada, 12 percent in Europe, 2 percent in Japan, and 21 percent in the United States.

FIGURE 1
Women Executives Officers, by Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Women Executives Officers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia (N=96)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (N=77)</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe (N=85)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (N=93)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (N=139)</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO IS ACCOUNTABLE?

Catalyst knows from Advisory Services engagements that diversity and inclusion initiatives need accountability structures to be successful. Without methods for measuring and rewarding progress—not just at the top, but throughout every level of an organization—initiatives lack the power to drive meaningful change.

To determine what levels of employees are held accountable, Catalyst asked organizations whom they hold responsible for reviewing metrics and progress related to the advancement of women. In nearly one-half of organizations, boards of directors were responsible for reviewing these metrics, while only slightly more than one-third of organizations drove accountability into middle-management ranks.

FIGURE 2
Responsibility for Progress by Level (N=102)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Executives</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Managers</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMPLOYEE RESOURCE GROUPS:
Valuable to Members, Valuable to Business, Valuable to the Community

Catalyst has seen both new and renewed interest from members about employee resource groups (ERGs) in the past several years. ERGs—also known as networks, affinity groups, or caucuses—are groups of employees in an organization formed to act as a resource for both members and the organization. ERGs can be formal or informal and can have a few members or a few thousand. ERGs are not new, but they remain an important mechanism for the advancement of employees, organizations, and the business, as data from this report attests.

While only 4 percent of responding organizations said their women's ERG had been in existence for more than 25 years, 19 percent said their women's ERG had been instituted within the past two years, and 38 percent said their women's ERG had been in existence for two to five years. These relatively recent foundings suggest that employers appreciate the value ERGs for women can bring to their business.

ERGs serve multiple purposes, including:

Workforce Development
Evidence from numerous organizations with which Catalyst has worked indicates that using ERGs to enhance and develop the workforce leads to the advancement and retention of women, including women of color. ERGs also:
- Provide employees with opportunities to interact with others who serve as role models, coaches, or mentors.
- Serve as vehicles for leadership development, as network leaders gain experience and exposure while leading the group.
- Identify high-potential talent and develop succession plans.
- Offer career-planning or development advice through events, conferences, speaking engagements, and forums.
- Recruit employees.

Marketplace Development
Shifts in demographics and purchasing power, the globalization of business, and the trend toward product personalization indicate that the marketplace is changing in unprecedented ways. Diverse and engaged ERGs provide relevant insights on:
- Emerging markets.
- Developing business in new markets.
- Product development and design.
- Multicultural marketing.
- Mirroring the client base.
- Building relationships through network-based events to which clients can be invited.

Workplace Development
ERGs develop the workplace from the bottom up by influencing workplace culture through identifying unexamined assumptions, educating employees and senior leadership, and changing norms. They also provide a channel for employees’ voices, which research shows is critical to employees’ perceptions of fairness, as well as their commitment, satisfaction, and performance. ERGs:
- Act in an advisory capacity to inform and influence company or firm-wide policies or practices. For example, one company instituted domestic partner benefits based on a cost-benefit analysis presented by the company’s gay and lesbian ERG.
- Identify emerging employee issues and concerns.
- Change the work culture by initiating discussions and institutionalizing new ideas. Several companies’ approaches to work-life effectiveness and the institutionalization of flexibility were driven by ERGs.
- Help organizations respond to and/or drive workplace change when demographic workforce shifts occur, such as the entrance of women into the labor market or the replacement of an aging workforce by younger generations of employees.

Community Development and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)
ERGs also link employees to their communities. Numerous companies and firms donate products or services to community groups and service organizations or provide community service through their ERGs. This outreach contributes to corporate social responsibility efforts and allows companies and firms to build reputational capital in the marketplace.

EMPLOYEE RESOURCE GROUPS: Findings From the Global Survey

The vast majority of participating members—83 percent—had at least one employee resource group. Among members with ERGs, women’s networks were by far the most prevalent, as indicated in Table 2. Other popular ERGs develop and support lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) employees and racially or ethnically under-represented groups. At nearly one-half of responding members—45 percent—ERGs were open to all employees. In addition, senior-leadership support was strong: 91 percent of organizations with ERGs (N=176) reported that their ERGs had organization-appointed senior-level champions or sponsors.

TABLE 2
Types of ERGs Offered (N=176)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of ERGs</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women employees</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender employees</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black employees</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina/Latino employees</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander employees</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates in professional service firms (N=41)</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees with disabilities</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees who are parents</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners in professional service firms (N=41)</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New employees</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous employees</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees of various generations (e.g., Gen X; employees over 50)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents whose initiatives have won the **Catalyst Award** in the past were significantly\(^\text{10}\) more likely than other respondents to have the following ERGs:

- Asian/Pacific Islander employees
- Asian/Pacific Islander women employees
- Black employees
- Employees of various faiths
- Employees with disabilities
- Indigenous employees
- Latina employees
- Latina/Latino employees
- Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender employees
- Men of color employees
- New employees
- Women of color employees

---

**About the Catalyst Award**

The Catalyst Award annually honors innovative approaches with proven results taken by organizations to address the recruitment, development, and advancement of managerial women, including women of color. Catalyst’s rigorous, year-long examination of initiatives culminates in intensive on-site evaluations at select organizations.

Since 1987, when Catalyst first presented the Award to organizations, 66 initiatives have been honored. These Award-winning initiatives have evolved over time from discrete programs to recruit, retain, and advance women to culture-changing organizational efforts that recognize the business imperative for diversity and inclusion. This development of complexity and approach mirrors the overall evolution of diversity and inclusion in many organizations. By recognizing, sharing, and celebrating successful efforts, Catalyst provides organizations with replicable models to help them create initiatives that are good for women and good for business.

---

\(^{10}\) Z-tests were used to determine statistical significance. All differences are significant at \(p=.05\) or less.
WOMEN’S ERGS

ERG PURPOSE
As noted above, nearly all members with ERGs—94 percent—had an ERG for women. Fifty percent of these members said that the women’s ERG’s primary focus was to provide opportunities for leadership development and/or management experience.

FIGURE 4
Current Primary Focus of Women’s ERG (N=145)

As Table 3 depicts, members headquartered in Japan or Canada were more likely than members headquartered in Europe or the United States to say that the primary purpose of their women’s ERG was to provide social support to address women’s professional challenges.

TABLE 3
Primary Purpose of Women’s ERG by Headquarters Region (N=145)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leadership Development</th>
<th>Social Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>29% (N=4)</td>
<td>57% (N=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>56% (N=5)</td>
<td>44% (N=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>25% (N=3)</td>
<td>67% (N=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>55% (N=60)</td>
<td>33% (N=36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When members were asked to think about the best way to advance organizational gender diversity goals, 54 percent said that using women’s networks to provide leadership development opportunities and/or management experience was the best way to advance gender diversity in the organization. However, fewer than one-half (48 percent) of all responding members currently had a formal initiative for women’s leadership development in place.

**FIGURE 5**  
Network Purpose That Would Most Advance Gender Diversity (N=145)

- Social Support: 23%
- Leadership Development: 54%
- Supporting the Business: 0%
- Community Outreach: 23%

**FIGURE 6**  
Formal Initiative for Women’s Leadership Development (N=212)

- No: 52%
- Yes: 48%
MAKING THE BUSINESS CASE
More than three-quarters (77 percent) of organizations with ERGs for women said that their women’s network had a formally stated business case linking the group to the organization’s strategy or performance. Moreover, 88 percent of respondents with women’s ERGs said that these groups were extremely important or very important to their organization’s strategy to increase gender diversity.

FINANCIALLY SUPPORTING ERGS
More than a quarter—27 percent—of responding members said that their total annual organizational budget for all employee resource groups exceeded $250,000. As Figures 7 and 8 indicate, organizations with higher revenues, as well as Catalyst Award-winning members, tended to have higher annual budgets for their ERGs.

FIGURE 7
Total Annual Budget for ERGs by Organizational Revenue (N=158)
Twenty-nine percent of respondents said that 11 to 25 percent of their total ERG budget went to women's ERGs.
MEASURING REACH OF NETWORK ACTIVITIES

Responding members tracked the activities and members of their women’s networks most often by measuring membership, soliciting feedback from members through surveys, and tracking the frequency of meetings. Fewer than one-fifth (19 percent) of participating organizations that tracked network activities and members linked network leadership with promotion and/or retention metrics.

FIGURE 10
Tracking Network Activities and Members (N=164)
As the data make clear, and as Catalyst’s experiences with organizations suggest, ERGs are valuable not only to their members, but also to the business and the community at large. These groups serve multiple purposes and have potential for organizations looking to develop their workforce, enhance opportunities for employees, and reach out to clients, customers, and the community.

Respondents to the survey expressed the belief that ERGs can be especially powerful when it comes to advancing women within organizations. In particular, they said ERGs would best serve women by emphasizing leadership development opportunities. While there is value in providing social support to women to help them address their professional challenges, responding members said that ERGs should make developing women leaders their top priority. Despite the feeling that networks should be used in this way, only 19 percent of those that track network activities—31 respondents—actually measured or linked women’s network leadership with promotion and/or retention statistics. If women’s ERGs are most valuable in this respect, organizations must measure and provide more explicit links between network leadership and participation, and metrics relating to advancement, retention, performance, and accountability.

We hope the information contained in this report—as well as the Catalyst Member Benchmarking Tool for participating members—helps organizations build upon, refine, and expand their diversity and inclusion efforts around the globe.
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION PRACTICES

Catalyst’s Diversity & Inclusion Practices showcase initiatives that have been successful at organizations. Three are profiled here; participants can find others through the Catalyst Member Benchmarking Tool. The first practice, based on ING U.S. Financial Services’ 2008 Catalyst Award-winning initiative, Beyond Diversity: Building One ING Culture, profiles the creation of the company’s business-focused employee networks. The second practice highlights the purpose and structure of the global women’s affinity group at member law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP. Finally, Royal Dutch Shell’s practice features global efforts to help lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) employees relocate and illustrates how its LGBT Netherlands Network benefits both employees and the company.
In an increasingly complex industry, financial services organizations face frequent turnover and extreme competition for talent. Smart companies recognize that distinguishing themselves and benefiting from the talents of a diverse workforce are ways to remain competitive. Beginning in 2001, in order to address these issues, ING U.S. Financial Services (USFS) used a transition period of rapid acquisitions and subsequent consolidation as an opportunity to drive diversity and inclusion company-wide by capitalizing on different talents, perspectives, and ideas, culminating in the Catalyst Award-winning initiative, Beyond Diversity: Building One ING Culture.

The initiative consists of multiple components that overlap and leverage one another; one powerful area that has significantly increased diversity at all levels and fostered an inclusive climate is the strategic, business-focused employee networks and an annual Diversity Symposium in which network best practices are shared across the company.

1. Recognizing the Need to Build an Inclusive Work Environment

Shortly after ING Group’s rapid expansion in the United States through acquisitions of financial services organizations such as Aetna and ReliaStar and the events of September 11, 2001, ING USFS was forced to reduce the number of its major locations from 30 to ten and consolidate the workforce by 15 percent. During this period of change, ING USFS employees and senior leadership began to understand that in order to prosper, the disparate locations and legacies would have to shed their individual identities and become “One ING.”

Employee affinity groups, which had existed in various forms at the legacy organizations, were recognized as fundamental to building and maintaining momentum toward a unified culture. The Office of Diversity set out to align the employee networks with ING USFS’s business priorities and rebranded the existing groups into five distinct employee networks—African American Network, Latino Network, Gay and Lesbian (GALA) Network, Asian American Network, and Women’s Network—in which employees with common business goals connect and learn from one another.

Employee networks are voluntary organizations open to all employees. Their members are committed to making ING USFS the best place to work by supporting inclusion, career development, respect for differences, equal opportunity, and diversity in the workplace. In fact, employees of all diversity dimensions participate across multiple groups, thus building an inclusive environment.

2. Aligning Employee Network Groups With Business Goals

The five business-aligned networks have moved from being seen as “social” organizations to groups that influence senior leaders, important constituents, and markets. They offer a sense of community, camaraderie, and connection to ING USFS—an important advantage at a company with approximately 8,000 employees. Members of networks help acclimate new and current employees to the ING culture and are generally recognized as helping to build and maintain employee engagement. The networks also play a role in implementing ING USFS’ diversity strategy and are required to develop a business plan that is aligned with overall business goals approved by the CEO.

As an example of how networks may engage important constituents, the GALA Network developed a plan to identify LGBT suppliers in the Atlanta area and worked with the company on LGBT policies. This partnership resulted in a one-year jump from a score of 57 to 100 on the Human Rights Campaign Corporate Equity Index—a well-known measure of LGBT inclusion—between 2005 and 2006.

3. Sharing Ideas and Collaborating

The networks engage in a number of different activities for all employees regardless of network membership. The networks work together to sponsor Lunch & Learn sessions in which powerful leaders give presentations. Other activities
sponsored by the networks include diversity fairs, financial literacy seminars, networking events, and professional development sessions.

The Diversity Symposium, an annual employee network event, provides members with the opportunity to exchange ideas, showcase best practices, address challenges and concerns, and identify opportunities for collaboration. CEO Tom McInerney, his executive team, and the executive sponsors meet with members during cross-network meetings, networking lunches, an awards ceremony, receptions, and dinners, thereby demonstrating their support and sponsorship of the networks’ agendas. Among other goals, it strives to:

- Provide network leaders with the tools they need to lead and manage their respective networks and to pursue their personal development.
- Acknowledge the visible leaders, as well as the unsung heroes who make a difference in diversity at ING.
- Demonstrate ING’s leadership support and sponsorship of the employee network agendas.

The success of the employee network groups can be attributed to the pervasive inclusiveness of the “One ING” culture and the diversity of teams throughout the organization. As the groups have become more focused on delivering bottom-line business value to ING USFS, they have gained the support and recognition of senior leaders.

4. Ensuring Direct Senior Leadership Support
Each of the groups has a senior executive sponsor with direct access to the CEO. Executive sponsors may request to head a specific network, or the networks themselves may approach a senior leader to take on this advisory role. Executive sponsors interact often with national network leaders and meet with local networks during site visits to the company’s ten major locations. Each group has local boards and a national board staffed by employees from all levels, including the call centers. The national board members from each group convene to form an overall body called the National Network Council.

The networks connect with each other and the Office of Diversity and Human Resources through the employee network liaison. The liaison’s primary role is to counsel the network leaders, assist them in securing necessary resources, and ensure that they are effective.

5. Measuring Results
The company has a dedicated HR Analytics Team that regularly reviews and monitors human capital management (HCM) metrics to measure the success of the overall initiative, as well as the employee networks. An important measure that is monitored is growth in employee network membership. Since 2003, there has been tremendous growth in all five employee networks. For example, the Women’s Network had just 20 members in 2003, and in 2007 had more than 1,100.

In addition, ING USFS surveys employee opinions every year. The satisfaction commitment score is made up of seven questions that measure employee engagement. Since the initiative’s inception in 2003, overall employee engagement has increased, as well as employee perceptions of personal and career growth.
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP formalized its affinity group, Women@Weil, in 2005 with the objective of being the “firm of choice” for women lawyers. Women@Weil utilizes an integrated effort to help women lawyers achieve their potential in their professional and personal lives and to advance women at the firm, learning not only from the successes and challenges women face within the firm but also from women clients. Women@Weil is present in each of the firm’s offices around the world, and each group is open to all women lawyers, from first-year associate to senior partner. Capitalizing on a firm-wide commitment to diversity of thought and culture, Women@Weil collects locally driven best practices from all of its offices.

Women@Weil is organized in a systematic way throughout the firm. The management of Women@Weil is comprised of a Steering Committee, which provides leadership for the team; individual office leaders, who provide region-specific leadership; and six project committees, which cover a variety of planning, mentoring, recruitment, retention, and business development efforts that Women@Weil undertakes to support the firm-wide diversity initiative.

The six project committees directly connect the program efforts to bottom-line and other goals at the firm as follows:

1) Mentoring and networking: programs related to mentoring women lawyers throughout the firm and networking with alumni, members of the firm, and clients.
2) Recruiting and retention: programs related to recruiting and retaining women lawyers and organizing programs with women summer associates.
3) Pro bono: programs related to pro bono clients.
4) Business development and outreach: relationships with external women’s organizations and with clients.
5) Communications: internal and external communications, such as website and newsletters.
6) Programs: all internal and external firm programs, such as lecture series and client events.

Women@Weil effectively links women lawyers both within and across offices in the United States and internationally. The group emphasizes a cooperative approach and addresses the issues that women face working internationally: the challenges of working cross-culturally, and the additional obstacles that being a woman can raise. It allows women to come together from all levels at the firm during retreats and events.

Weil’s senior leadership is committed to the Women@Weil initiative, and Chairman Stephen J. Dannhauser speaks about Women@Weil each year at the New Associates Orientation, as well as at the biannual partners retreat. Mr. Dannhauser makes it apparent to the entire firm that this is a business priority for him personally as well as for the firm. At biannual partners retreats, senior women have convened, assessed the progress of women at the firm, and brainstormed strategies for future success. In addition, since each practice group tracks statistics on the recruitment, retention, and promotion rates of women lawyers, accountability is built into the process. Through its coordination and scope of activities, Women@Weil strives to engage and advance all women lawyers.
Like many global companies, Royal Dutch Shell knows the value of having a diverse and inclusive workplace. By ensuring that everyone feels included and respected, Shell can maximize employee potential and engagement. Shell has focused on the concerns and issues surrounding inclusion of LGBT employees, and its LGBT Netherlands Network has worked to create positive results.

The mandatory diversity training for HR staff includes a segment on LGBT inclusion. This is consistent across various regions of the globe, despite resistance from countries that did not want to include this topic as part of the training.

Shell has an extensive expatriate workforce located in many countries and regions. In some regions, identifying as LGBT is illegal and self-disclosure can have significant risks. Shell recognizes that LGBT employees who are expatriates or potential expatriates may need support to address these issues. There is evidence that some LGBT employees hesitate to apply for international assignments because of the perceived challenges and risks. This can limit their opportunity to develop and advance to more senior positions in the organization. If selected for an expatriate assignment, an employee moving overseas with a same-sex partner can also experience unique challenges (e.g., introductions to a new set of co-workers, societal norms, participation in company-sponsored social events). In addition, if employees do not feel comfortable or safe in a region, their performance and their well-being may suffer.

Shell’s LGBT Netherlands Network organized a conference entitled Mobility Across the Borders: Addressing Global Relocation Barriers for LGBT Employees. The primary aim of the event was to raise awareness about the issues associated with LGBT employees moving internationally and to reinforce the business case for taking action to overcome the barriers they face. The conference attracted an audience of about 60 business leaders, HR professionals, and LGBT staff.

The conference achieved a number of goals, including:
- Raising awareness about LGBT challenges with international assignments.
- Identifying issues and actions to address.
- Reinforcing the business case for addressing the issues.
- Creating support and buy-in for addressing the issues.

One result of the conference is that the LGBT Netherlands Network is now working to create an international knowledge exchange system so that LGBT employees can share their experiences working in other cultures. This will provide information to LGBT employees considering positions in other geographies and make transitions easier.
2008 PUBLIC BENCHMARKING PARTICIPANTS

CATALYST MEMBERS

Accenture
Adobe Systems
Alcoa Inc.
The Allstate Corporation
Ambac Financial Group
American Express Company
Andrews Kurth LLP
Aon Corporation
AstraZeneca Canada Inc.
AstraZeneca PLC
AT&T Inc.
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.
Baker & McKenzie
Bank of America Corporation
Barclays Global Investors
Barclays PLC
Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
BC Hydro
BDO Dunwoody LLP
BDO Seidman, LLP
Bechtel Corporation
Best Buy Co., Inc.
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
The Boeing Company
Booz Allen Hamilton Inc.
BP North America
Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc.
Brown-Forman Corporation
Bruce Power LP
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Campbell Soup Company
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce
Capital One Financial Corporation
Caterpillar Inc.
Chrysler LLC
The Chubb Corporation
Cintas Corporation
Cisco Systems Canada Co.
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Citibank Canada
Citigroup Inc.
The Coca-Cola Company
Colgate-Palmolive Company
Comcast Corporation
ConAgra Foods, Inc.
Corning Incorporated
Cowen and Company, LLC
Davis Polk & Wardwell
Dell Inc.
Deloitte & Touche LLP (Canada)
Deloitte & Touche LLP (US)
Delta Air Lines, Inc.
Deutsche Bank AG
Dickstein Shapiro LLP
The Dow Chemical Company
The Dow Chemical Company - Europe S.A.
DuPont
Eastman Kodak Company
Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Enbridge Inc.
Ernst & Young LLP
Fannie Mae
Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
FedEx Corporation
Fluor Corporation
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.
Genentech, Inc.
General Mills Inc.
General Motors Corporation
Gensler
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
Google
Grant Thornton LLP
Harley-Davidson, Inc.
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Hewlett-Packard Company
HSBC Holdings plc
Humana Inc.
IBM Corporation
ING Canada Inc.
International Paper Company
Intuit Inc.
J. C. Penney Company, Inc.
Johnson & Johnson
Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated
JPMorgan Chase & Co.
Kellogg Company
KeyBank
Kimberly-Clark Corporation
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
KPMG LLP Canada
KPMG LLP US
Kraft Foods Inc.
The Kroger Co.
Latham & Watkins
Lehman Brothers Inc.
Levi Strauss & Co.
Lockheed Martin Corporation
Mattel, Inc
McCarthy Tetrault LLP
McDonald's Corporation
Mercer
Merck & Co., Inc.
Microsoft Corporation
Morgan Stanley
Morrison & Foerster LLP
National Bank of Canada
Norfolk Southern Corporation
Northrop Grumman Corporation
NOVA Chemicals Corporation
Novo Nordisk A/S
NYSE Euronext, Inc.
Ogilvy Renault LLP
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP
The Pepsi Bottling Group
Pepsi Bottling Group Canada
PHH Mortgage
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
Pitney Bowes Inc.
The PNC Financial Services Group
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
The Procter & Gamble Company
RBC Financial Group
Reed Smith LLP
Rockwell Collins, Inc.
Rogers Communications Inc
Rohm & Haas Company
Royal Dutch Shell plc
Sara Lee Corporation
Schlumberger Limited
Scotiabank
Shawmut Design and Construction
Sidley Austin LLP
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Sodexo, Inc.
Southern Company Standard Chartered Bank State Farm Insurance Companies
Stryker Corporation
Sun Microsystems, Inc.
SunGard Data Systems
Sunoco, Inc.
SUPervalu INC.
Symcor Inc.
TD Bank Financial Group
TELUS Corporation
Tetra Pak International S.A.
Texas Instruments Incorporated
Torys LLP
TOTAL S.A.
Toyota Motor North America, Inc.
TransAlta Corporation
TransCanada PipeLines Limited
UBS AG
Unisys Corporation
United Technologies Corporation
UPS
Verizon Communications Inc.
Vinson & Elkins L.L.P.
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Whirlpool Corporation
WilmerHale
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

J-WIN MEMBERS
Accenture Japan Ltd
AIR LIQUIDE Japan Ltd.
Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd.
The Dai-ichii Mutual Life Insurance Company
Duskin Co., Ltd.
EM SYSTEMS Co., Ltd.
Fujita Corporation
Fujitsu Limited
Fujitsu YFC Limited
Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.
Hitachi, Ltd.
IBM Business Consulting Services KK
INTEC Inc.
Isuzu Motors Limited
Japan Information Processing Service Co., Ltd.
JCB Co., Ltd.
JTB Corp.
Kao Corporation
KDDI Corporation
KPMG AZSA & Co.
Mitsui Life Insurance Company Limited
Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd.
Nichirei Foods Inc.
Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.
Oriental Land Co., Ltd.
Pioneer Corporation
Recruit CO., LTD.
Resona Bank, Limited
Ricoh Company, Ltd.
SOFTBANK TELECOM Corp.
Sompo Japan Insurance, Inc.
Sony Corporation
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation
TAC CO., LTD.
Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
Tokyo Electric Power Company Limited
TOTO LTD.
UCHIDA YOKO CO., LTD.
CATALYST AWARD WINNERS

2008
ING U.S. Financial Services
Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.

2007
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
PepsiCo, Inc.
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Scotiabank

2006
BP p.l.c.
The Chubb Corporation
Safeway Inc.

2005
Georgia-Pacific Corporation
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP

2004
General Electric Company
Harley-Davidson, Inc.
Shell Oil Company U.S.

2003
Accenture
Ernst & Young LLP
WellPoint Health Networks Inc.

2002
Bayer Corporation
Fannie Mae
Marriott International, Inc.

2001
American Express Company
General Mills, Inc.
JPMorgan Chase & Co.

2000
Charles Schwab & Co.
IBM Corporation
The Northern Trust Company

1999
Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Corning Incorporated
TD Bank Financial Group

1998
The Procter & Gamble Company
Sara Lee Corporation

1997
The Allstate Corporation
Avon Mexico

1996
Hoechst Celanese Corporation
Knight-Ridder, Inc.
Texas Instruments

1995
Deloitte & Touche LLP
The Dow Chemical Company
J.C. Penney Company, Inc.

1994
Bank of Montreal
McDonald’s Corporation
Pitney Bowes Inc.

1993
The American Business Collaboration (ABC) for Quality Dependent Care
Con Edison
Morrison & Foerster
Motorola

1992
American Airlines
Continental Insurance
Hewlett-Packard Company

1991
Arthur Andersen & Co., S.C.
SC Johnson Wax
Tenneco Inc.

1990
Eastman Kodak Company
John Hancock Financial Services
US Sprint Communications Company

1989
Fannie Mae
IBM Corporation
US WEST, Inc.

1988
Avon Products, Inc.
Corning Glass Works
E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company
Gannett Co., Inc.

1987
Connecticut Consortium for Child Care
The Equitable Financial Companies
IBM Corporation
Mobil Corporation
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The 2008 Catalyst Member Benchmarking Report is the result of the extraordinary teamwork and dedication of Catalyst staff members across the organization. Catalyst President Ilene H. Lang provided leadership in developing the report. Nancy M. Carter, Ph.D., Vice President, Research, and Jeanine Prime, Ph.D., Senior Director, Research, oversaw the project and provided insight and guidance.

Heather Foust-Cummings, Ph.D., Director, Research, directed the research and authored the report. Emily Pomeroy managed the project, cleaned and prepared the data, and conducted data analyses. Lois Joy, Ph.D., and Christine Silva supported data analysis. Julie Nugent authored the Diversity & Inclusion Practices with support from Maev Conneighton, Emily Falk, Sarah Smaller-Swift, and Cheryl Yanek. Emily Wakeling directed the team of Catalyst relationship managers who solicited member participation, acted as a resource to member participants, and created Table 1. Deepali Bagati, Ph.D., Sarah Dinolfo, and Rachel Soares ensured the accuracy of the data and final report. Rhonda Ferguson, Laura Jenner, Alicia Sullivan, and Emily Troiano assisted with data verification. Sharon Peters provided administrative support. We are grateful to the Catalyst issue experts and staff members who contributed to the report: Mary Boughton, Michael Chamberlain, Jan Combopiano, Serena Fong, Deborah Gillis, Eleanor Tabi Haller-Jorden, Susan Nierenberg, Svetlana Peers, and Brande Stellings. We thank Ed Belove for re-designing and supporting the new and expanded Catalyst Member Benchmarking Tool.

Yukako Uchinaga, Chair, Japan Women’s Innovative Network (J-Win), introduced the Catalyst Member Benchmarking survey to J-Win and provided leadership in recruiting J-Win members to participate. Emiko Ikeno, Manager, Overseas Partnerships, J-Win, coordinated the fielding of the survey to J-Win members and responded to inquiries. Kimiko Otsuki Pritsker translated the survey into Japanese. Reina Shibata provided additional translation support.

This report was produced and edited under the leadership of Deborah Soon, Vice President, Marketing & Executive Leadership Initiatives, and Liz Roman Gallese, Vice President & Publisher. Joy Ohm, Senior Editor, edited the report and Sonia Nikolic, Graphic Designer, designed the report.

We extend special thanks to the report’s lead sponsor McDonald’s Corporation, and to contributing sponsor HSBC Holdings plc.

Finally, this project would not have been possible without the generous participation and involvement of the membership of Catalyst and of J-Win. We thank you for your continued feedback and support, and we look forward to collaborations in the future.
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